PROMEDIA, (PUBLIC RELATION DAN MEDIA KOMUNIKASI) ISSN 2460-9633, Volume Ke-11 No. 1, 2025, Yuniar, dkk. Eksplorasi Fenomenologis, hal 41-60

Eksplorasi Fenomenologis Dampak AI pada Ekosistem Komunikasi Digital

A Phenomenological Exploration of AI's Impact in the Digital Communication Ecosystem

Nabila Alda Yuniar[1]
Yovi Bathesta[2]
Kamal[3]
Michael Adhi Nugroho[4]
Setya Ambar Pertiwi[5]
Rudy Harjanto[6]
LSPR Institute of Communication and Business, Jakarta, Indonesia[1,2,3,4,6]
Universitas Prof. Dr. Moestopo (Beragama), Jakarta, Indonesia[5]
Alamat: Jl. K.H. Mas Mansyur No.Kav. 35, RT.12/RW.11, Karet Tengsin, Kecamatan Tanah Abang, Kota Jakarta Pusat, Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta 10220[1]
Alamat: Hang Lekir I No.8, RT.1/RW.3, Gelora, Kecamatan Tanah Abang, Kota Jakarta Pusat, Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta 10270[2]
Email: nabila.ay@lspr.edu[1]*, yovi.b@lspr.edu[2], kamal@lspr.edu[3], michael.an@lspr.edu[4], ambar.pertiwi@gmail.com[5], rudy.h@lspr.edu[6]

Dikirim: 2 Februari 2025, Direvisi: 18 Juni 2025, Diterima: 22 Juni 2025, Terbit: 25 Juni 2025. Sitasi: Yuniar, Nabila Alda,ddk. (2025) Eksplorasi Fenomenologis Dampak AI pada Ekosistem Komunikasi Digital. Promedia: Public Relation dan Media Komunikasi, 11(1), 41-60

Abstract

Communication technology in the digital era has led to the expanding use of Artificial Intelligence (AI), which raises ethical concerns and possible benefits. This research examines the complex world of digital communication, focusing on ethics in the AI era. The central tension is between free expression and lowering the risks of spreading misinformation and deception. The idea is to establish ethical frameworks that maintain this

hazardous balance. A phenomenological research technique is used to understand digital communication enthusiasts' lived experiences and viewpoints. Phenomenology gives a qualitative view of ethical challenges and possibilities, encapsulating the underlying character of AI-powered communication tool user interactions to examine the contradiction between free expression and hoax prevention to understand the formulation and usage of ethical norms for responsible communication in the AI era. The theoretical framework shows how AI affects digital communication practices. AI's ability to falsify information poses freedom of speech concerns, prompting an ethical assessment of these technologies. The study explores the potential and moral conundrums that arise from the nexus of communication, AI, and the digital world. It also discusses how the changing digital landscape affects communication ethics. It calls for the development of strong norms and processes to promote ethical digital communication practices, emphasizing the significance of ethical enforcement in its discussion. It explores the ways artificial intelligence (AI) may enrich the digital age by preventing misinformation while preserving freedom of speech. Proposing an enforcement ethic, the study aims to cultivate a digital ecosystem that prioritizes ethics and harnesses the transformative potential of AI.

Keywords: Communication, Artificial Intelligence, Ethical, Phenomenological, Enforcement.

Abstraksi

Teknologi komunikasi di era digital telah menyebabkan meluasnya penggunaan Kecerdasan Buatan (AI), yang menimbulkan masalah etika dan kemungkinan manfaat. Penelitian ini mengkaji dunia komunikasi digital yang kompleks dengan fokus pada etika di era AI. Ketegangan utama terletak antara kebebasan berekspresi dan penurunan risiko penyebaran misinformasi dan penipuan. Idenya adalah untuk membangun kerangka etika yang menjaga keseimbangan berbahaya ini. Teknik penelitian fenomenologis digunakan untuk memahami

pengalaman hidup dan sudut pandang para penggemar komunikasi digital. Fenomenologi memberikan pandangan kualitatif tentang tantangan dan kemungkinan etika, merangkum karakter mendasar interaksi pengguna alat komunikasi bertenaga AI untuk memeriksa kontradiksi antara kebebasan berekspresi dan pencegahan berita bohong untuk memahami formulasi dan penggunaan norma etika untuk komunikasi yang bertanggung jawab di era AI. Kerangka teoritis menunjukkan bagaimana AI memengaruhi praktik komunikasi digital. Kemampuan AI untuk memalsukan informasi menimbulkan kekhawatiran terhadap kebebasan berbicara, sehingga mendorong penilaian etis terhadap teknologi ini. Studi ini mengeksplorasi potensi dan teka-teki moral yang muncul dari hubungan komunikasi, AI, dan dunia digital. Ia juga membahas bagaimana perubahan lanskap digital memengaruhi etika komunikasi. Hal ini menyerukan pengembangan norma dan proses yang kuat untuk mempromosikan praktik komunikasi digital yang etis, dengan menekankan pentingnya penegakan etika dalam pembahasannya. Ia mengeksplorasi cara kecerdasan buatan (AI) dapat memperkaya era digital dengan mencegah misinformasi sekaligus menjaga kebebasan berbicara. Mengusulkan penegakan etika, penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menumbuhkan ekosistem digital yang mengutamakan etika dan memanfaatkan potensi transformatif AI.

Kata Kunci: Komunikasi, Kecerdasan Buatan, Etika, Fenomenologis, Penegakan Hukum

I. INTRODUCTION

Undoubtedly, the emergence and widespread use of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies have brought about revolutionary shifts in many fields, with communication being among the most significantly impacted. AI integration has changed communication processes by enabling greater efficiency, customization, and engagement (Turban et al., 2018). AI's widespread use in digital communication brings both benefits and difficulties for society. AI-driven technologies can improve tailored communication but also

raise serious ethical problems about algorithmic bias, privacy, and online content authenticity, making it harder to strike the delicate balance in communication (Floridi, 2023). This study explores the ethical implications of AI's influence on digital communication to clarify the opportunities and challenges present in this rapidly changing field.

AI has the ability to create synthetic media in digital communication (Fuchs, 2020). The widespread use of AI-generated content exacerbates the dissemination of false information and misinformation, eroding public confidence in digital platforms and endangering democratic processes (Lazer et al., 2018). Therefore, to lessen the negative consequences of AI on digital communication, ethical frameworks and regulatory mechanisms must be developed (Lindén, 2020).

The advent of AI has revolutionized digital communication, permeating various aspects of online interaction, from sentiment analysis to content curation. Mittelstadt et al. (2016) highlight the pervasive nature of AI in modern communication, noting its role in analysing vast datasets, identifying trends, and personalizing information for individual users through complex algorithms. The application of AI in sentiment analysis, conversational interfaces, and content curation shows how pervasive it is in digital communication (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). AI systems are capable of analysing large databases, identifying trends, and producing information that is personalized for each user using complex algorithms. Although this makes individualized communication experiences easier, it also brings up moral questions about algorithmic prejudice, privacy, and permission (Barocas & Selbst, 2016). Indeed, the remarkable capabilities of AI systems extend beyond mere data analysis, encompassing the creation of synthetic media such as deepfake text and videos.

Algorithmic prejudice is a central concern in the ethical debate around AI in digital communication. AI algorithms, while ostensibly neutral, are inherently shaped by the biases embedded within the data from which they learn. This

phenomenon, often referred to as algorithmic bias, can result in discriminatory outcomes that perpetuate societal inequalities and marginalize certain groups. As such, there is a pressing need to critically assess and mitigate the biases inherent in AI systems to ensure fairness, equity, and social justice in digital communication.

Privacy also emerges as a paramount concern in the context of AI-driven communication technologies. The widespread collection and analysis of user data by AI algorithms raise profound questions about individual autonomy, consent, and data protection. Users may unwittingly relinquish control over their personal information, exposing themselves to potential privacy breaches and surveillance. Moreover, the opacity surrounding AI algorithms exacerbates these privacy concerns, as users are often unaware of how their data is being used and manipulated. Thus, efforts to enhance transparency, accountability, and user control over data collection and processing are essential to safeguarding privacy rights in the digital sphere.

AI-generated synthetic media also threatens digital communication's authenticity and reliability. The development of hyper-realistic but wholly created content using deepfake technology makes it harder to tell fact from fiction. This loss of confidence harms digital platforms and public debate, emphasizing the need for rigorous tools to detect and stop AI-generated misinformation and disinformation.

Therefore, stakeholders must combine technology innovation, ethics, and regulation to address these ethical issues. Policymakers, technology corporations, civil society organizations, and academics must collaborate to create and execute ethical AI regulatory frameworks for digital communication. These frameworks should balance innovation with ethics and social ideals based on justice, transparency, accountability, and human rights. Diversity, equity, and inclusion in AI system development and deployment must be prioritized to combat algorithmic bias.

Diversifying datasets, ethical design, and rigorous testing and validation processes are needed to discover and reduce biases throughout the AI lifespan. Emphasizing justice and investigating the ethical ramifications of AI's impact on the digital communication ecosystem can help safeguard democratic norms and digital communication in the digital age.

User trust and confidence depend on AI algorithm transparency and accountability efforts. By revealing AI system inner workings, stakeholders may enable consumers to make educated digital interactions and hold AI developers and providers responsible. Privacy rights and digital autonomy depend on procedures for getting meaningful permission and guaranteeing user control over data usage and sharing. AI has the potential to revolutionize communication, but its negative effects on digital communication can be minimized by promoting dialogue and cooperation amongst a variety of stakeholders.

An attempt to comprehend the intricate interplay between AI, ethics, and digital communication can be achieved through empirical and theoretical research. Therefore, the research problem of this study is the ethical implications of AI technologies on human experiences and interactions in the digital realm present a complex landscape that requires careful examination and ethical considerations. Understanding how these technologies influence human behaviour, societal norms, and the integrity of digital communication platforms is crucial for promoting ethical practices in communication.

The problem statement underlying this study is as AI technologies become increasingly integrated into digital communication platforms, there is a growing need to address their ethical challenges. These challenges include the potential for algorithmic bias, dissemination of false information, and the erosion of privacy and autonomy. Furthermore, comprehensive frameworks and regulatory mechanisms are lacking to guide ethical decision-making in this rapidly evolving landscape.

This study will answers research questions as follow. First, how can enforcement ethics be effectively implemented to address the challenges of false information, deception, and algorithmic bias in the digital communication ecosystem? Second, what are the societal benefits and potential obstacles associated with adopting enforcement ethics in digital communication, and how can stakeholders collaborate to ensure its successful implementation?

II. METHODOLOGY

This study uses a phenomenological research methodology to explore the viewpoints and people's experiences involved in the digital communication ecosystem. AI-driven communication tools present ethical concerns that can be examined via a nuanced lens because phenomenology focuses on comprehending the essence of human experiences (Giorgi, 2009). Phenomenological research is also valuable for examining intricate social phenomena because it emphasizes documenting the personal interpretations and meanings people ascribe to their experiences (Creswell & Poth, 2018). From the viewpoint of people actively involved in digital communication activities, phenomenology allows researchers to explore the complex interactions between AI technologies, communication practices, and ethical considerations. A study investigating the moral ramifications of utilizing AI was conducted on campus in January 2024. This study involved several stakeholders, including research participants, who provided perspectives and insights relevant to the research topic. The individuals present include senior educators, instructors, and students. This research procedure acknowledges the necessity of treating study participants honestly, minimizing risks, and prioritizing the advantages arising from the research. This study also incorporates the principles of research ethics by upholding the principles of honouring and preserving the dignity of individuals, safeguarding the privacy and confidentiality of research participants, and considering fairness and the resulting consequences.

The phenomenological approach typically includes bracketing, data gathering, data processing, and interpretation (Moustakas, 2009). Bracketing is essential for phenomenological investigation because it requires putting aside preconceptions and biases to view the phenomenon with new eyes and an open mind (Finlay, 2009).

The main method of gathering data for this project will be in-depth interviews with people who frequently use AI-driven communication tools in their personal or professional lives. During these semi-structured interviews, participants can openly express their experiences, opinions, and ethical concerns about AI-mediated communication. To corroborate results and deepen study ideas, additional techniques like participant observation and document analysis may also be used (Flick, 2018).

A thorough phenomenological technique will be used to analyse the data, and this approach usually entails the methodical identification of themes, patterns, and key meanings from the participant narratives (Moustakas, 2009). The researchers will reduce, categorize, and interpret the rich qualitative data to produce insightful findings that clarify the ethical implications of AI's influence on digital communication practices.

Furthermore, the research methodology will use reflexivity to provide transparency and rigor, as indicated by (Kralik, 2005). As they become aware of how these factors may impact how results are perceived, scholars will regularly assess their biases, assumptions, and positionalities.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our discussion will focus on enforcement ethics, which offers a crucial foundation for navigating the morally challenging world of digital communication in the age of AI. Specifically, it highlights how essential it is to set stringent moral standards and rules to manage the interactions made possible by technology driven by AI (Floridi et al., 2018). In an ever-changing world, where it may be impossible to differentiate between the truth and a lie, ethical direction is essential.

Deepfake movies have been seen in a variety of settings, from revenge pornography to political propaganda. As an

example, Barack Obama appeared to be speaking in a deepfake video that was produced using AI. Or in the case of US President Donald Trump with his frequent Tweets, has effectively spread false news in the current era (Osho, 2020). Even though these materials are fake, they have the power to change people's perceptions and compromise the legitimacy of democratic systems.

In the same line, the proliferation of synthetic media presents difficulties for the pursuit of truth as well as for the ethics of journalism. When AI algorithms are used to create news stories, photographs, and highly lifelike videos, it is becoming increasingly difficult to discriminate between genuine information and content modified or fraudulently created (Farkas, 2023). This mixture of truth and deceit, which progressively erodes public trust in the media, represents a threat not only to the fundamental principles of democracy but also to the informed citizenship of the people. This presents a challenge to the public's ability to make informed decisions.

When it comes to digital communication ethics, one of the most significant difficulties that must be overcome is the widespread circulation of deceptive and false content on social media platforms. According to the findings of a study carried out by Vosoughi and colleagues (2018), information that is either false or misleading is disseminated on social media platforms at a faster rate and to a larger audience than information that is, in fact, accurate. The driving reasons behind this phenomenon, which leads to the deterioration of social division and the degradation of public discourse, are often algorithmic amplification and echo chambers. In many situations, these two factors are the driving forces behind this phenomenon.

As the communications field changed, UNESCO separated journalism into three primary categories based on its legitimacy, impact, and quality. False information spread to harm an individual, a social group, an organization, or a nation is known as disinformation. False information not intended to harm is referred to as misinformation. Information that is true but is twisted to hurt an individual, a community, an institution, or a nation is known as malformation (UNESCO, 2018).

Striking a careful balance between stopping hoaxes and protecting free speech is a difficult task. Yet, it is one that necessitates a nuanced response—one that acknowledges AI's capacity to strengthen and compromise digital communication's integrity (Barocas & Selbst, 2016). A collaborative effort from all parties concerned is necessary to fully utilize AI's potential to identify and reduce false information while protecting against its exploitation.

As society grapples with for a robust framework to guide responsible communication ecosystem practices, , enforcement ethics emerges as a compelling solution, offering stakeholders a roadmap to navigate morally challenging situations and foster an environment conducive to ethical conduct.

Floridi et al. (2018) articulates, enforcement ethics emphasizes the importance of instituting ethical standards and procedures to regulate digital communication. This framework aims to mitigate the hazards of false information, deception, and algorithmic bias while promoting accountability, openness, and digital literacy. By adhering to these principles, stakeholders can uphold the integrity of digital communication platforms and safeguard society's interests.

False information, deception, and algorithmic bias represent significant threats to the credibility and trustworthiness of digital communication channels. The proliferation of misinformation and disinformation undermines public discourse. Furthermore, social inequities and divisions can be made worse by the ambiguity of algorithmic decision-making, which can reinforce pre-existing inequalities and biases.

Today, communication platforms have revolutionized how we interact and exchange information. However, with this innovation comes a host of ethical challenges, including the proliferation of false information, deceptive practices, and algorithmic bias. In this context, enforcement ethics emerges as a proactive and essential framework for addressing these challenges and promoting responsible communication practices.

Enforcement ethics entails establishing clear ethical standards and procedures to regulate digital communication. In this context, Enforcement ethics recognizes the interconnected

nature of digital communication and society at large. It acknowledges that ethical considerations extend beyond individual interactions to encompass broader societal impacts. By establishing clear ethical standards and procedures, stakeholders can minimize the dissemination of false information, combat deceptive practices, and mitigate the effects of algorithmic bias.

Moreover, by fostering accountability and transparency, enforcement ethics empower users to make informed choices and hold digital communication platforms accountable for their actions. This proactive approach not only safeguards the integrity of digital communication channels but also fosters trust among users. By holding platforms accountable for their actions, users can demand greater transparency regarding data collection practices, content moderation decisions, and algorithmic online interactions.

Through digital literacy promotion and critical thinking skills, stakeholders can equip users with the cognitive and affective tools to navigate online spaces safely and responsibly. This includes educating users about the dangers of false information, teaching them how to identify deceptive practices, and encouraging them to question the validity of the content they encounter online. By investing in digital literacy initiatives, stakeholders can empower users to discern fact from fiction, identify deceptive tactics, and protect themselves from manipulation and exploitation. In other words, a digital communication ecosystem that upholds the core values of integrity, transparency, and respect for human dignity can be built through collaborative efforts and a commitment to ethical conduct.

According to Floridi et al. (2018), enforcement ethics highlights how crucial it is to set up moral guidelines and protocols to control digital communication. The adoption of enforcement ethics can yield tangible benefits for anybody. By promoting responsible communication practices and reducing the proliferation of false information and deceptive tactics, enforcement ethics can enhance the credibility and trustworthiness of digital communication platforms. This, in turn,

can foster a more inclusive and democratic public sphere where diverse voices are heard and informed dialogue flourishes.

Another primary objectives of enforcement ethics is to mitigate the effects of algorithmic bias. As AI algorithms increasingly shape our online experiences, there is a growing concern that these algorithms may perpetuate existing biases or inadvertently discriminate against certain groups. By implementing ethical standards that prioritize fairness and inclusivity, stakeholders can work towards mitigating algorithmic bias and ensuring that digital communication platforms serve all users equitably. With a clear establishment of ethical standards and procedures, related parties can minimize the dissemination of false information, combat deceptive practices, and mitigate the effects of algorithmic bias. Moreover, by fostering accountability, transparency, and digital literacy, enforcement ethics empower users to make informed choices and hold digital communication platforms accountable for their actions. Education and media literacy requires a multifaceted and collaborative approach acknowledging AI's dual potential to strengthen and compromise digital communication's integrity. By upholding ethical standards, we can create a digital communication ecosystem that promotes trust, fairness, and inclusivity for all users.

Indeed, AI holds immense promise as a tool for identifying and combating false information. Through sophisticated techniques, AI systems analyse vast quantities of data in real-time, discerning patterns and anomalies indicative of deceptive content. By leveraging AI-driven technologies, stakeholders can bolster efforts to detect and mitigate the dissemination of fake news, thereby safeguarding the credibility and reliability of online discourse.

Addressing the spread of fake news while safeguarding principles of free speech presents a formidable challenge. The interpretation of truth and falsehood is often contingent upon individual perspectives, cultural contexts, and political ideologies, rendering the task of automated content moderation inherently fraught with challenges. As such, any AI-driven solutions must be implemented with careful consideration for their potential impacts on freedom of expression, diversity of

viewpoints, and democratic discourse. Again, a collaborative approach among all stakeholders involved, emphasizing the importance of balancing the potential benefits of AI with the imperative to mitigate its potential harms are needed as has been underscored by Barocas and Selbst (2016). Policymakers, technologists, journalists, academics, and public organizations have to collaborate to develop robust frameworks and guidelines that enforce ethical and responsible AI usage. This includes transparency in AI algorithms, accountability mechanisms for AI-driven decisions, and safeguards against algorithmic bias and discrimination.

Furthermore, establishing a climate that encourages responsible communication and frank discussion is essential to this effort. Advocates for accountability, openness, and digital literacy can enable consumers to interact critically with AI-mediated information and differentiate fact from fiction (Fuchs, 2020). Furthermore, productive governance in the digital age depends on cultivating a climate of cooperation and respect between platform providers, legislators, and civil society actors (Lazer et al., 2018).

The demand for ethical guidelines and legislative measures to address the ethical implications of AI-driven communication technologies has grown (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). Methods for identifying and reducing the dissemination of synthetic media are being developed through projects like the Deepfake Detection Challenge and scholarly studies into algorithmic transparency and accountability (Naitali et al., 2023). Additionally, social media sites have to stop the spread of misinformation and manipulated media; however, the effectiveness of these measures is still up for discussion (Farkas, 2023). In the end, negotiating the morally challenging aspects of digital communication in the AI era necessitates a multidimensional strategy involving technological innovation, regulatory monitoring, and moral introspection.

Moreover, it is critical to understand that ethical issues must be incorporated into the planning and execution of AI systems from the beginning and cannot be dealt with separately (Mittelstadt et al., 2016). This means the algorithms and decision-

making procedures supporting AI-driven communication tools must incorporate moral precepts like justice, responsibility, and transparency (Taddeo & Floridi, 2018). Prioritizing responsible innovation and ethical design can help stakeholders minimize the risks of AI-induced damage while increasing the benefits to society.

Stakeholders may build a climate that encourages responsible communication, open discourse, and democratic involvement by instituting ethical norms and procedures, encouraging accountability and transparency, and incorporating ethical considerations into AI design and deployment. By doing this, society's interests will be furthered as we can utilize AI's revolutionary potential while protecting against any potential negative effects.

The establishment of an enforcement ethic, or guiding framework that prioritizes the promotion of responsible communication practices, is something that scholars advise for in order to navigate these morally challenging waters. This strategy is based on the understanding that digital communication is an area that is ready for ethical regulation. Stakeholders can promote responsible communication practices by highlighting the significance of instituting ethical standards and procedures that regulate digital communication (Floridi et al., 2018). This means reducing the hazards of false information, deception, and algorithmic bias while fostering accountability, openness, and digital literacy (Barocas & Selbst, 2016).

However, addressing these ethical challenges necessitates a collaborative effort across disciplines. Legislators, technologists, ethicists, and civil society members must also unite to forge regulatory frameworks, develop ethical algorithms, and support digital literacy programs tailored to the AI era that reflect society's diverse needs and interests. This multidisciplinary approach acknowledges the interconnected nature of ethics and technology, highlighting the importance of collective action in shaping the future of digital communication. As AI algorithms evolve and become increasingly sophisticated, there is a risk of unintended consequences, including the amplification of biases and the infringement upon individual privacy rights.

Acknowledging the shared responsibility as collective action to uphold ethical principles in the digital age will shape the future of ethical digital communication.

In this sense, stakeholders can embrace the disruptive potential of AI while safeguarding key values of justice, integrity, and respect by prioritizing user rights protection and making sure AI-driven platforms serve society. By doing this, they open the door to a digital communication environment that is morally sound and represents the highest standards of human decency in the face of technical advancement. They too, can better negotiate the ethical challenges of the digital era by adopting an enforcement ethic based on moral principles and values. This means putting user rights protection first, encouraging accountability and openness, and ensuring AI-driven communication platforms serve society (Floridi et al., 2018).

There should be a lesson learned from the recent Congressional Antitrust Subcommittee hearing, in which the CEOs of significant internet companies were interrogated. Amazon, Apple, Facebook, and Google are the targets of bipartisan hostility, a sign that despite their enormous market capitalization and power, they are currently under close political examination. A significant revision of antitrust laws is being called for in order to deal with the unparalleled market strength of internet companies in the United States where big tech is forced to decide whether to move proactively to address concerns and pledge to ethical behavior, or to carry on with business as usual and risk strict government oversight (Denning, 2020).

It is essential to regulate big tech, with an emphasis on honesty, competitiveness, privacy, and safety. Similar to rules governing chemicals and pharmaceuticals, safety regulations for emerging technologies should guarantee their safety and eliminate any bias. Concerns about privacy require a change in business "opt-in" policies from "opt-out" ones to ones that treat personal data as a human right. Antitrust actions, the dismantling of monopolistic power, and the promotion of innovation are necessary to restore competition. Furthermore, opaque revenue recognition practices—particularly in advertising networks—can be addressed by securities law, which encourages transparency.

Safeguarding democracy, public health, privacy, and economic competition requires effective regulation; but, given the platforms' resistance and agility, more measures might be required (McNamee, 2020).

Openness is stressed as being important, especially when it comes to exchanging data for the good of society (Zuckerberg, 2020). Innovation and research should be encouraged, but privacy and security issues must also be taken into consideration, particularly when establishing data ownership and mobility. In order to manage these complications and preserve individual sovereignty over their data, ethical data sharing techniques that advance social welfare must be enabled. To make sure that data-sharing programs adhere to moral standards and legal frameworks, systems for oversight and accountability need also be put in place. Governments, regulatory agencies, and IT businesses must work together to establish and implement these policies successfully. Society may take advantage of data sharing while preserving individual rights and encouraging moral innovation by encouraging responsibility and openness.

Further, Sam Altman, CEO of OpenAI, stressed the critical need for government supervision to control powerful AI systems and spoke of the serious harm that could result from their improper use. Concerns regarding privacy, bias, and national security have been raised by the generative AI technologies calls for governmental involvement have been made. The U.S. may see rules emerge first from outside the nation—China or Europe, for example—due to difficulties in defining AI-related problems, legal authority, and technical expertise. Fostering self-regulation and market-driven incentives for ethical AI development may help lessen the hazards connected with AI technology, given the limitations of government regulation (Levin & Downes, 2023).

Most importantly, a multidisciplinary and cooperative strategy is a must to solve ethical issues in the digital communication ecosystem and artificial intelligence. To create ethical algorithms, construct regulatory frameworks, and support digital literacy programs that encourage ethical communication practices in the AI era, legislators, technologists, ethicists, and

members of civil society must collaborate (Taddeo & Floridi, 2018).

IV. KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

In conclusion, enforcement ethics offers a compelling framework for navigating the ethical challenges of digital communication in the AI era. By prioritizing responsible communication practices and moral regulation, stakeholders can uphold the integrity of digital communication platforms and safeguard the interests of users and society.

We can build a digital communication ecosystem that reflects the best human values amidst technological innovation through collaborative efforts and a commitment to ethical conduct.

Integrating AI technologies into communication platforms has brought about profound transformations in human experiences and interactions. However, alongside these advancements come ethical dilemmas such as algorithmic bias, the dissemination of false information, and deceptive practices. In response to these challenges, scholars advocate for adopting enforcement ethics—a framework prioritizing responsible communication practices and ethical regulation in the digital communication ecosystem.

The study suggests for future research focuses on practical applications of enforcement ethics in diverse digital communication contexts, which includes developing strategies to combat algorithmic bias, enhancing digital literacy initiatives, and evaluating the long-term societal impacts of enforcement ethics. Additionally, examining the effectiveness of enforcement ethics in fostering responsible communication habits and promoting ethical conduct in the digital age would be valuable areas for further inquiry.

REFERENCES

Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big Data's Disparate Impact. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2477899

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry & research design: Choosing among five approaches* (Fourth edition). SAGE.

Denning, S. (2020, August 2). *Why Big Tech Should Regulate Itself*. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/stevedenning/2020/08/02/why-big-tech-should-regulate-itself/

Farkas, J. (2023). Fake News in Metajournalistic Discourse. *Journalism Studies*, *24*(4), 423–441. https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2023.2167106

Finlay, L. (2009). Debating Phenomenological Research Methods. *Phenomenology & Practice*, *3*(1). https://doi.org/10.29173/pandpr19818

Flick, U. (Ed.). (2018). *The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Data Collection*. Sage Reference.

Floridi, L. (2023). *The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence: Principles, Challenges, and Opportunities* (1st ed.). Oxford University PressOxford. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198883098.001.0001

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society: Opportunities, Risks, Principles, and Recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, *28*(4), 689–707. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5

Fox, J. (2020). 'Fake News' – the Perfect Storm: Historical Perspectives*. *Historical Research*, *93*(259), 172–187. https://doi.org/10.1093/hisres/htz011

Fuchs, C. (2020). *Nationalism on the Internet: Critical theory and ideology in the age of social media and fake news*. Routledge.

Giorgi, A. (2009). *The Descriptive Phenomenological Method in Psychology: A Modified Husserlian Approach*. Duquesne University press.

Kralik, D. (2005). Reflexivity: A Practical Guide for Researchers in Health and Social Sciences. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *50*(2), 227–227. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03416_2.x

Lazer, D. M. J., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., Metzger, M. J., Nyhan, B., Pennycook, G., Rothschild, D., Schudson, M., Sloman, S. A., Sunstein, C. R., Thorson, E. A., Watts, D. J., & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The Science of Fake News. *Science*, *359*(6380), 1094–1096. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao2998

Levin, B., & Downes, L. (2023, May 19). Who Is Going to Regulate AI? *Harvard Business Review*. https://hbr.org/2023/05/who-is-going-to-regulate-ai

Lindén, C.-G. (2020). Automating the News: How Algorithms are Rewriting the Media. *Mass Communication and Society*, *23*(6), 968–970. https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2020.1783887

McNamee, R. (2020, July 29). *Big Tech Needs To Be Regulated. Here Are 4 Ways to Curb Disinformation and Protect Our Privacy*. TIME. https://time.com/5872868/big-tech-regulated-here-is-4-ways/

Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2016). The Ethics of Algorithms: Mapping the Debate. *Big Data & Society*, *3*(2), 205395171667967. https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679

Moustakas, C. E. (2009). *Phenomenological Research Methods* (Nachdr.). Sage.

Naitali, A., Ridouani, M., Salahdine, F., & Kaabouch, N. (2023). Deepfake Attacks: Generation, Detection, Datasets, Challenges, and Research Directions. *Computers*, *12*(10), 216. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers12100216

Osho, S. A. (2020). Fake News as Aberration in Journalism Practice: Examining Truth and Facts as Basis of Fourth Estate of the Realm. In *Fake News Is Bad News—Hoaxes, Half-truths and the Nature of Today's Journalism*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.94195

Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2018). How Ai Can Be a Force for Good. *Science*, *361*(6404), 751–752. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat5991

Turban, E., Outland, J., King, D., Lee, J. K., Liang, T.-P., & Turban, D. C. (2018). *Electronic Commerce 2018: A Managerial and Social Networks Perspective*. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58715-8

UNESCO. (2018, September 3). *Journalism, 'Fake News' and Disinformation: A Handbook for Journalism Education and Training*. UNESCO. https://en.unesco.org/fightfakenews

Zuckerberg, M. (2020, February 16). *Mark Zuckerberg: Big Tech Needs More Regulation*. https://www.ft.com/content/602ec7ec-4f18-11ea-95a0-43d18ec715f5